UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,211	10/15/2007	Ange Luppi	P/3255-103	7447
Robert C. Faber	7590 02/22/201	EXAMINER		
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN 1180 Avenue of the Americas			MAYO-PINNOCK, TARA LEIGH	
New York, NY 10036-8402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/593,211	LUPPI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TARA MAYO-PINNOCK	3671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Security</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the Expression i	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8-14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. ure: a) □ accepted or b) ☒ objected or by ☒ objected or	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060915.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/593,211 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

- 2. Figure 1A should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because two figures are labeled Figure 8, Figure 8A and Figure 8B. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the

drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes legal phraseology. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/593,211 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1 through 7, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Maloberti et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,906,137 A).

Maloberti et al. '137, as best illustrated in Figures 1 through 4, disclose a method for

starting up a flowline (3) suitable for conveying hydrocarbons, said flowline being extended over

the seabed from a wellhead (2) and terminating at a joint end, said joint end being suitable for

connection to a subsea riser, and said method comprising:

with regard to claim 1,

a first stage of inducing in elongation of said flowline (col. 1, line 67 through col. 2, line

2);

a second stage of fixing said joint end with respect to said seabed to maintain said

flowline in its elongated position;

with regard to claim 2,

further comprising permitting characterized displacement of said joint end in the

direction of elongation of said flowline and prohibiting displacement of said joint end in the an

opposite direction;

with regard to claim 3,

further comprising guiding said joint end in translation during elongation of said flowline;

with claim 4,

further comprising a preliminary stage before said first stage comprising laying said flowline on said seabed and connecting said subsea riser to said flowline; with regard to claim 5,

wherein said subsea riser is connected to the said joint end during said preliminary stage; and

with regard to claim 16,

further comprising extending said riser in a catenary.

Maloberti et al. '137 disclose a system for starting up a flowline (3) suitable for conveying hydrocarbons, wherein:

with regard to claim 6,

said flowline (3c) extends over a seabed from a wellhead (2) and terminates at a joint end of said flowline, said joint end being suitable for connection to a subsea riser and said flowline being able to stretch;

a locking system (5) for fixing said joint end with respect to said seabed for maintaining said flowline in said stretched position after said flowline has been stretched; with regard to claim 7,

with regard to claim 15,

wherein said locking system includes a unidirectional arresting device (8) operable to allow displacement of said joint end in a direction of elongation of said flowline and to prohibit displacement of said joint end in an opposite direction; and

further comprising a subsea riser having a free end; said subsea riser is extended in a catenary.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 8 through 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TARA MAYO-PINNOCK whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/593,211 Page 7

Art Unit: 3671

272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TARA MAYO-PINNOCK/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671

14 February 2010